

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/614,026	07/08/2003	Toshiyuki Nozoe	43890-612	9079	
7:	590 12/27/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
McDermott, Will & Emery 600 13th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096			KWOK, HELEN C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2856		
			DATE MAILED: 12/27/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/614,	026	NOZOE ET AL.				
	- Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit	)			
		Helen C		2856	A-			
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communicate Reply	ation appears on t	he cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	dress			
THE M - Extens after Si - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC ions of time may be available under the provisions of X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communeriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statut to reply within the set or extended period for reply will be a specified above, the maximum statut to reply within the set or extended period for reply will be a specified above. The second state of the second sec	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no elication.  days, a reply within the story period will apply and II, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a latutory minimum of thir will expire SIX (6) MON pplication to become Al	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely ITHS from the mailing date of this co BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>08 July 200</i> 3.						
3)□ \$	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	n of Claims							
5)□ (6)⊠ (7)□ (8)□ (6	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-37,39 and 40</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
9)□ Т	he specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/776,443.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s	s)							
1) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)	2.048)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔯 Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/08/2003</u> .			nformal Patent Application (PTC	)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/614,026 Page 2

Art Unit: 2856

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Priority**

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 08/776,443, filed on April 17, 1997. *Claim Objections* 

2. Claims 32-33 and 39-40 are objected to because of the following informalities. Appropriate correction is required.

In claim 32, line 1, the word – a – should be inserted before the word "frequency".

In line 2, the phrase "the frequency" should be changed to – a frequency --.

In claim 33, line 3, the word – said – should be inserted before the word "detection".

In claim 39, line 5, what is the word "it" referring to?

In claim 40, line 2, the word – said – should be inserted before the word "monitor".

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 20-27 and 39-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,026

Art Unit: 2856

In claim 20, line 14, the phrase "said drive means" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 26, line 3, the phrase "detection part" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 27, line 3, the phrase "the detection part" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 28, line 2, the phrase "the driving" lacks antecedent basis. Also, what "driving"?

In claim 29, line 14, the phrase "said detection part" lacks antecedent basis. In line 20, the phrase "detection part" lacks antecedent basis.

## **Double Patenting**

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/614,026

Art Unit: 2856

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 20-37 and 39-40 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,244,095 (Nozoe et al.) or claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,705,151 (Nozoe et al.) or claims 1-11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,732,586 (Nozoe et al.). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims presented in the above-mentioned references (all issued to Nozoe et al.) claim the features as presently claimed in the instant Application. For example, the claims in the references claim a sensor element having a vibrating part, a detector part; a drive circuit to supply a driving signal; a monitor circuit to provide a monitor signal; a detection unit including a pair of charging amplifiers or current amplifiers; a synchronous demodulator; an adjusting unit having an adjustor (i.e. attenuator) and an injector. Furthermore, the specification of all three of the Nozoe et al. references suggests and teaches the features and elements of the claimed invention. Therefore, the instant Application is not patentably distinct from the above-mentioned references.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/614,026 Page 5

Art Unit: 2856

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helen C. Kwok whose telephone number is (571) 272-2197. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron E. Williams can be reached on (571) 272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Helen C. Kwok Art Unit 2856

hck December 13, 2004